

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Forest Ecology and Management



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/foreco

Are mixed-tree plantations including a nitrogen-fixing species more productive than monocultures?



Nicolas Marron*, Daniel Epron

Université de Lorraine, AgroParisTech, Inra, UMR 1434 Silva, F-54000 Nancy, France

ARTICLE INFO ABSTRACT Keywords: The inclusion of N₂-fixing tree species in tree plantations has the potential to increase biomass production Mixed-tree plantations compared to monocultures. Both successes and failures have been described in the literature; however, it is still N₂-fixation difficult to distinguish a general pattern and to disentangle the factors influencing the mixture effect. The first Meta-analysis objective of this study was to provide an overview of the published data on the effect of the introduction of N2-Biomass production fixing trees in tree plantations through a meta-analysis approach and to calculate a mean effect of mixed-tree Monocultures plantations on biomass production compared to monocultures of the non N2-fixing species in stands 2-20 years Climate conditions of age. The second objective was to evaluate the effects of (1) climate zone (temperate vs. tropical), (2) the Mixing proportion species used (eucalypts vs. other non N2-fixing species, and leguminous tree species vs. other N2-fixing species), Developmental stage (3) the proportion of N_2 -fixing species compared to the non-fixing species, and (4) plant developmental stage. A total of 148 case studies from 34 experimental plantations under tropical (68 case studies) and temperate (80 case studies) conditions were identified from the literature. The global mixture effect was significantly positive, mixed-tree plantations being 18% more productive than the non N₂-fixing monocultures, and this effect was significantly different from zero under temperate conditions (24% more productive) but not under tropical conditions (12% more productive). Indeed, the sites where the positive mixture effect was significantly different from zero were mostly located in a temperate climate, where soil nitrogen is generally considered less available than in tropical latitudes. Intermediate and high proportions of N₂-fixing species gave similar positive results (27% more productive), while low proportions had no significant impact. Neither plantation age nor type of N2fixing species (legume trees vs. other N₂-fixing species) had any significant effect. In conclusion, it appears that climate is the main factor influencing the success of the mixture; however, it also seems that the degree of mixture success is more marked on sites with low biomass production where the monoculture is the least productive.

1. Introduction

In 2012, nearly half of all industrial round wood harvested worldwide was removed from planted forests, the majority of which were large-scale tree plantations (Payn et al., 2015). Large-scale tree plantations, most of which are located in Asia and the Americas, can occupy anywhere from hundreds of hectares to hundreds of thousands of hectares and are generally under government or commercial management (Kanowski and Murray, 2008). Such plantations often comprise a single species or a few productive, and predominantly exotic, tree species that are intensively managed for varying commercial purposes, mainly for timber and pulpwood, but also for biofuels and carbon credits (Ingram et al., 2016; Malkamäki et al., 2017). Nearly three quarters of the world's industrial forest plantations are composed of *Pinus* (42%) and *Eucalyptus* species (26%) (Payn et al., 2015). However, concerns have arisen about the economic and environmental costs of fertilizers and pesticides, productivity losses from pests and diseases and reduced biodiversity in these monospecific production systems (FAO, 1992). Mixed-species plantations have the potential to address these concerns while simultaneously improving nutrient cycling (e.g. Koutika et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2015; Tchichelle et al., 2017), soil fertility (e.g. Montagnini, 2000), biomass production (e.g. Epron et al., 2013; Pretzsch et al., 2013) and carbon sequestration (e.g. Wang et al., 2009; Koutika et al., 2014) as well as providing other benefits through a diversification of products, improved risk management and protection from pests and diseases (Forrester, 2004; Kelty, 2006; Bauhus et al., 2017). Mixed-tree plantations containing N₂-fixing tree species are also thought to provide an additional benefit: a reduced need for nitrogen

* Corresponding author. *E-mail addresses:* nicolas.marron@inra.fr (N. Marron), daniel.epron@univ-lorraine.fr (D. Epron).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2019.03.052

Received 7 December 2018; Received in revised form 23 March 2019; Accepted 24 March 2019 Available online 29 March 2019 0378-1127/ © 2019 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. fertilization thanks to symbiotic N_2 fixation (Forrester et al., 2006a; Piotto, 2008; Bouillet et al., 2013).

However, the success of mixed-tree plantations (i.e. when the mixture is more productive than the monoculture) is highly variable (e.g. Bauhus et al., 2000 for a positive effect, Parrotta, 1999 for a negative effect and DeBell et al., 1987 for no effect). If the interspecific competition in the mixture is more intense than the intra-specific competition in the monoculture, the mixture is likely to be less productive. On the other hand, niche sharing and facilitation, especially when N2-fixing species are introduced, are expected to promote biomass production in the mixture. However, it is very difficult to predict which kind of interaction will be preponderant and to guarantee the success of the mixture (Forrester et al., 2006a). According to the stress gradient theory (Bertness and Callaway, 1994), positive effects (complementarity) should prevail over negative effects (competition) in a mixture under stressful abiotic conditions. Positive interactions between species (i.e. facilitation and competition reduction) are generally more prevalent in sites with low nutrient availability (Forrester, 2014).

First of all, the design of the mixed-species plantation must be adapted to local conditions to maximize the chances of success. Many options have been illustrated in the literature (Forrester et al., 2006a; Piotto, 2008). Under tropical latitudes, the N2-fixing species introduced with the economic target species (almost exclusively a eucalypt) most often belong to the Acacia genus, though species from the Leucaena, Casuarina, Albizia or Enterolobium genera are also occasionally used. Under temperate latitudes, N2-fixing species mostly belong to the Robinia or Alnus genera, and more rarely to the Caragana genus, while the non-fixing species are more diverse: species from the Populus, Salix, Pinus and Pseudotsuga and other genera are used. N2-fixing species are mainly legumes (Fabaceae Lindl. family) in which N2 fixation is realized through their symbiosis with bacteria from the genus Rhizobium, except species from the Alnus and Casuarina genera, which form their symbiosis with bacteria from the genus Frankia. The mixing design can take the form of an additive series, where the density of the non-fixing species is kept constant, or a replacement series, where the N₂-fixing trees replace certain non-fixing trees to keep the total planting density constant. Tested proportions used to evaluate experimentally mixture effects range from 11 to 75% of N2-fixing trees, but a fifty-fifty mixture remains the most widely used option (e.g. Bi and Turvey, 1994).

This study aimed to provide updated and complementary information compared to previously published reviews or meta-analyses, about eucalypt – acacia mixtures (Forrester et al., 2006a), and about forest mixed-species plantations in general (Piotto, 2008; Jactel et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2012). All these studies suggested that mixed stands were globally more productive than pure ones. Zhang et al. (2012) calculated that mixed-species forests are globally 15% more productive than the average of their component monocultures, and Jactel et al. (2018) estimated that polycultures were 24% more productive than monocultures. However, these two meta-analyses reported that the positive effect of the mixtures was independent of the presence of N_2 -fixing species in the mixture.

We carried out a quantitative study compiling the data available in the scientific literature about all kinds of mixed-tree plantations which included N₂-fixing species and undertook a meta-analysis – a set of statistical tools that makes it possible to combine the outcomes of independent studies to evaluate the overall effect of a particular factor and to test the influence of covariates on this effect (Gurevitch and Hedges, 1999). Our main objectives were to calculate a mean effect of mixed-tree plantations on biomass production compared to the monoculture of the non N₂-fixing species from the data reported in the literature. We then sought to evaluate the effects of plantation attributes in terms of (1) climate (temperate vs. tropical), (2) the species used (eucalypt vs. other non N₂-fixing species, and leguminous species vs. other N₂-fixing species), (3) the proportion of N₂-fixing species compared to the non-fixing species (high, low or equal proportions), and (4) the developmental stage for short rotation stands (juvenile or shortly after planting vs. nearing rotation age). Planting density was not tested since only two studies compared this factor. Only replacement series designs were considered in order to hold planting density constant. We chose to compare the mixed-tree plantations to the monocultures of the non N₂-fixing species and not to the monocultures of the N₂-fixing species because we considered that if the N₂-fixing monoculture was more productive than the mixture, the mixture would be useless in economic terms. We tested the following hypotheses: (1) globally, mixed-tree plantations including an N2-fixing species should be more productive than the monoculture because of the additional nitrogen symbiotically fixed: (2) this better performance of the mixture should be more marked under temperate latitudes where soil nitrogen is generally considered to be less available than in tropical latitudes (Martinelli et al., 1999); (3) a balanced mixing proportion (50/50) would give the best results as this proportion would provide enough N2fixing trees to promote biomass production of the non-fixing species and not too many N2-fixing trees lowering overall stand biomass production; (4) older developmental stages should give better results than juvenile stages since the interactions between species are likely to be limited in very young plantations; it has also been shown that synergistic effects between species are long lasting (Forrester et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2012).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Data collection

We examined existing literature up to December 2017 via an online scientific citation indexing service (Web of Science, Clarivate Analytics, U.S.A.) with various combinations of relevant terms such as: (mixed or mixture or mixing), (pure or monoculture), (tree plantation or forest) and (N-/N₂-/nitrogen-fixing or N/N₂/nitrogen fixation), and Latin names of the most frequently used tree N₂-fixing genera. We also surveyed the cited references in the relevant articles we retrieved. Studies were retained if they met the following conditions: (1) studies used a replacement series design in order to hold planting density constant; (2) a monoculture of the non N₂-fixing species was present under the same conditions as the mixture; (3) sufficient information on environmental conditions and experimental design was given; and (4) production data per unit area were presented in terms of aboveground dry matter, stem volume, stem volume index or basal area. Almost all studies that met these conditions deal with short rotation forests.

Mean production data were extracted from the articles for the mixed-tree plantation and the non N_2 -fixing monoculture; when presented, standard deviations or standard errors were also extracted. In some cases, means and standard deviations were extrapolated from graphs with the computer tool Plot Digitizer 2.6.6 (http://plotdigitizer.sourceforge.net/). This program allows quickly digitizing values off a graph just by clicking on each data point and by comparing them to a scale. Forty articles reporting 148 case studies (differing in mixing proportions, planting densities, species or plantation age) on 34 experimental sites worldwide were found (Table 1 and Appendix A for soil characteristics). The sites were positioned on Google Maps using the GeoFree website (www.geofree.fr) (Appendix B).

2.2. Data analysis

For each case study, effect size (log-transformed response ratio, RR) was calculated as the log of the ratio between the mean aboveground biomass (or volume or basal area) in the mixture (M) and in the monoculture of the non N₂-fixing species (NF):

Main characterist fixing and non-fix	ics of the 34 I ing species, n	nixture site nixture pro	es identifie portion, ag	d from the lite ge of stand at	erature: locatio the last measu	n (coun rement,	try, locality planting de	', geographic coordinate ensity and bibliographic	s, altitude), climate (n al references. NA stanc	ean annual pre ls for "not avail	cipitation, MAP; able" when data	mean annua 1 are not pro	al temperature, MAT), N ₂ -vided.
Site	Site numbe	r Latitude	Longitude	Altitude (m)	Climatic zone	(mm)	MAT (°C)	Non-fixing species	N2-fixing species	Proportion tested (% fixator: %non fixator)	Age of measurement (years)	Planting density (trees/ha)	References
Australia, Atherton- Tablelands	1	17°00'S	145°00′E	760	Tropical	1413	20.2	Eucalyptus pellita	Acacia peregrina	NA	10	1000	Bristow et al. (2006)
Australia, Canberra	7	35°15′S	149°10'E	650	Temperate	625	13.1	Pinus radiata	Acacia decurrens; Acacia mearnsii	34:66	4.5	1010	Forrester (2004)
Australia, Cann- River	n	37°35′S	149°10′E	110	Temperate	1009	14.2	Eucalyptus globulus	Acacia mearnsii	25:75;50:50; 75:25	from 3 to 11	1010; 1515	Forrester (2004) Forrester et al. (2004) Khanna (1997) Forrester et al. (2005) Bauhus et al. (2004)
Australia, Eden	4	37°20'S	149°53′E	40	Temperate	751	15.4	Eucalyptus nitens	Acacia mearnsii	50:50	from 2 to 5	2500	Bauhus et al. (2000) Forrester (2004)
Australia, Nowra	Ω.	34°50'S	150°15′E	109	Temperate	1048	16.3	Eucalyptus saligna	Acacia mearnsii	50:50	2	2500	Forrester (2004)
Brazil, Bofete Brazil. Itatinga	6	23°11′S 23°02′S	48°25′W 48°38′W	NA 860	Tropical Tronical	1420 1380	21.4 19.0	Eucalyptus grandis Eucalyntus grandis	Acacia mangium Acacia mangium	50:50 50:50	from 2 to 6 from 2 to 6	1666 1111	Bouillet et al. (2013) Bouillet et al. (2013):
Brazil, Luiz	. ∞	21°35′S	47°31 W	NA	Tropical	1420	23.3	Eucalyptus	Acacia mangium	50:50	from 2 to 6	1111	Epron et al. (2013) Bouillet et al. (2013)
Antônio								urophylla imes grandis)				
Brazil, Minas do Leao	6	30°07′S	52°02°W	64	Temperate	1342	19.3	Eucalyptus saligna	Acacia mearnsii	50:50	4	1667	Vezzani et al. (2001)
Brazil, Rio de	10	22°45′S	43°40'W	NA	Tropical	1370	24.0	Eucalyptus	Acacia mangium	50:50	from 2 to 5	1111	Santos et al. (2016)
Janeiro Brazil, Santana do	11	19°16′S	41°47'W	NA	Tropical	1240	24.4	urophylla × grandis Eucalyptus	Acacia mangium	50:50	from 2 to 6	1111	Bouillet et al. (2013)
Paraiso Brazil, São Mateu	s 12	18°50'S	39°50'W	NA	Tropical	1350	25.0	urophylla × granais Eucalyptus urophylla	Leucaena leucocephala	50:50	7	1342	Moraes de Jesus and
Canada, Mt.	13	50°80'N	124°20'W	510	Temperate	1200	11.1	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Alnus rubra	NA	23	NA	Brouard (1989) Binkley (1983)
benson Canada, Laval	14	46°41'N	71°16W	06	Temperate	1200	15.5	Populus	Alnus glutinosa	70:30; 30:70	2	90,000	Coté and Camire (1984)
Canada, Harris Canada, Saskatooi	15 1 16	51°67′N 52°13′N	107°66′W 106°61′W	541 587	Temperate Temperate	400 347	2.7 3.3	nıgra × trıchocarpa Salix miyabeana Salix miyabeana	Caragana arborescens Caragana arborescens	50:50; 34:66 50:50; 34:66	44	14,818 14,818	Moukoumi et al. (2012) Moukoumi et al. (2012)
1 Canada, Saskatoor	1 I I	52°09′N	106°46′W	510	Temperate	347	3.3	Salix miyabeana	Caragana arborescens	50:50; 34:66	4	14,818	Moukoumi et al. (2012)
z China, Yuanmou Congo, Kissoko	18 19	25°40'N 4°44'S	101°51′E 12°01′E	1110 100	Tropical Tropical	634 1430	21.6 25.7	Eucalyptus camaldulensis Eucalyptus urophylla × grandis	Leucaena leucocephala Acacia mangium	50:50 50:50	10 from 2 to 7	816 800	Tang et al. (2013) Epron et al. (2013); Koutika et al. (2014); Bouillet et al. (2013);
England, Gisburn forest	20	54°10'N	2°22'W	275	Temperate	1400	10.0	Picea abies, Pinus svlvestris. Ouercus petraea	Alnus glutinosa	50:50	from 6 to 20	4444	Tchichelle et al. (2017) Mason and Connolly (2014)
France, Ardon	21	47°46′N	1°52′E	110	Temperate	637	10.6	Populus Trichocarna × deltaides	Alnus glutinosa	50:50	from 2 to 3	3333	Teissier du Cros et al.
France, Saint-Cyr-	22	47°48'N	1°58′E	NA	Temperate	620	11.0	populus nigra × deltoides	Robinia pseudoacacia	50:50	from 1 to 4	1428	(1904) Gana (2016); Marron et al (2018)
Iran, Foman	23	35°50'N	49°15′E	10	Temperate	1260	20.3	Populus deltoides	Alnus glutinosa	30:70; 50:50; 70:30	13	1250	Koupar et al. (2011)
Iran, Mazandaran	24	36°29′N	51°59′E	100	Temperate	803	16.2	Populus deltoides	Almus subcordata	33:67; 50:50; 67:33	7 and 20	625	Ghorbani et al. (2018); Sayyad et al. (2006)
													(continued on next page)

(continued)	
-	
le	
Tab	

References	Parrotta (1999); Parrotta et al. (1996) Oliveira et al. (2018)	Wichiennopparat et al. (1998); Snowdon et al. (2003)	DeBell et al. (1985)	Binkley et al. (1992); Binkley et al. (2003); DeBell et al. (1989); DePell et al. (1997)	Austin et al. (1997)	Moore et al. (2011); Radosevich et al. (2006); D'Amato and Puettmann (2004)	Moore et al. (2011); Moore et al. (2006); Radosevich et al. (2006); D'Amato and Puettmann (2004)	DeBell and Radwan	(1979) Binkley (1983)
Planting density (trees/ha)	1000 10,000	1250; 2500	2500	2500	6667	1111	1111	13,889	NA
Age of measurement (years)	4 6	from 2 to 4	IJ	from 3 to 20	from 1 to 4	15	15	2	23
Proportion tested (% fixator: %non fixator)	50:50 25:75; 50:50;	75:25 25:75; 50:50; 75:25	50:50	11:89; 25:75; 33:67; 50:50; 75:25	50:50	50:50	50:50	50:50	NA
N ₂ -fixing species	Casuarina equisetifolia; Leucaena leucocephala Robinia pseudoacacia	Acacia auriculiformis	Acacia melanoxylon; Albizia falcataria	Acacia melanoxylon; Albizia falcataria	Albizia falcataria; Enterolobium cyclocarpum; Leucaena leucocephala × L. diversichlin	Alnus rubra	Alnus rubra	Alnus rubra	Alnus rubra
Non-fixing species	Eucalyptus × robusta Populus alba	Eucalyptus camaldulensis	Eucalyptus saligna / Eucalvntus erandis	Eucalyptus saligna / Eucalyptus grandis	Eucalyptus grandis	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Populus trichocarpa	Pseudotsuga menziesii
MAT (°C)	26.6	29.3	21.0	21.0	24.6	10.0	8.5	12.2	11.1
MAP (mm)	1600 447	980	5080	4600	1023	2500	2300	1200	2000
) Climatic zone	Tropical Temperate	Tropical	Tropical	Tropical	Tropical	Temperate	Temperate	Temperate	Temperate
Altitude (m	NA 595	NA	420	480	20	330	800	NA	35
Longitude	66°10'W 3°22'W	99°48′E	155°15′W	155°15′W	158°20'W	124°00'W	122°10'W	122°24′W	121°50′W
Latitude	18°27'N 40°28'N	13°32'N	19°30'N	19°30'N	21°20'N	45°05'N	44°14'N	45°35'N	47°50'N
Site number	25 26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34
Site	Puerto Rico, Tao Baja Spain, Alcalá de	Henares Thailand, Ratchaburi	USA, Onomea 1	USA, Onomea 2	USA, Waimanalo	USA, Cascade Head	USA, HJ Andrews	USA, Camas	USA, Skykomish

N. Marron and D. Epron

$RR = \log(M/NF)$

Log response ratios and their corresponding variances were calculated in R with the "escal" function in the Metafor package (Viechtbauer, 2010). A positive RR value indicated that production was higher in the mixture than in the monoculture. For studies that reported only mean values, standard deviations were imputed from the weighted average of the standard deviations from the other studies (Robertson et al., 2004). Many studies included in our meta-analysis provided more than one effect size (e.g. comparisons of different species, mixture proportions, planting densities or ages). Effect sizes originating from the same given site cannot be considered statistically independent (Nakagawa and Santos, 2012). To account for this non-independence, we included "site" as a random factor in the model, calculated with the "rma" function in the Metafor package. We first ran the model on the whole dataset, then restricted the dataset to eucalypt for the non-fixing genera (104 case studies), or to Fabaceae for the nitrogen-fixing family (117 case studies). Log response ratios were back-transformed to provide a direct estimate of the magnitude of tree mixture effect as a percentage of the decrease or increase in biomass production compared to the non-fixing monoculture.

We tested the significance of several explanatory variables (moderators) to account for variations in RR. We first split the dataset into temperate versus tropical climates. We considered a site as tropical when its latitude is below 25° and as temperate when its latitude is above 30°. This separation can be considered as arbitrary, but it separated the species without ambiguity, since almost all were found exclusively in one of the two climatic zones. The only exception was E. saligna that was found in both climate zones, consistent with its distribution area, but which was associated with a different N₂ fixing species. We could have distinguished several climatic subzones within each main zone (e.g. Mediterranean in temperate) but the number of sites within each zone would have been too low. We also tested the effects of mixing proportion by retaining only those studies with at least three mixing proportions, i.e. low (33% or less of N2-fixing trees), equal (50%) and high (66% or more). This represented 69 case studies (23 per mixing proportion). We also compared young (measurements taken a maximum of two years after planting) and older (measurements taken at up to the end of a rotation) stands. Only short rotation stands (composed of eucalypts and poplars) were included in the analysis because only one study compared ages for species grown for saw timber production. Sixty case studies allowed this comparison (30 case studies per development stage).

To verify the lack of publication bias, Rosenberg's fail-safe number (Rosenberg, 2005) was calculated corresponding to the number of case studies with a null effect size to be added to the meta-analysis to reduce the mean effect to zero. The number was 11597, a much greater value than Rosenthal's conservative critical value (750, Rosenthal, 1979), indicating that our results are robust to publication and that our meta-analysis does not represent a bias where researchers were not more inclined to investigate species mixtures with synergistic effects between the species rather than to investigate mixtures where antagonistic effects prevailed.

3. Results

3.1. Dataset characteristics

The studies included in our analysis contain a wide range of species (Table 1). At tropical latitudes, the non-fixing species belong exclusively to the *Eucalyptus* genus (5 species and 2 interspecific hybrids), while at temperate latitudes, a wider diversity of genera is represented: *Populus* (3 species and 3 interspecific hybrids), *Eucalyptus* (3 species), *Pinus* (2 species), *Quercus, Salix, Pseudotsuga* and *Picea* (1 species each).

The N₂-fixing species under tropical conditions mainly belong to the *Acacia* genus (4 species), and, less frequently, to the *Leucaena*, *Albizia*, *Casuarina* and *Enterolobium* genera. Under temperate conditions, N₂-fixing species were from the *Alnus* (3 species), *Acacia* (2 species), *Robinia* or *Caragana* (1 species each) genera. All the N₂-fixing species belong to the *Fabaceae* family and establish symbiosis with the proteobacteria *Rhizobium*, except for *Casuarina* and *Alnus* which belong to other families and establish symbiosis with the actinobacteria *Frankia*. Overall, the non N₂-fixing species were eucalypts in 70% of the case studies, while the N₂-fixing species were legumes in 79% of the case studies.

The 148 case studies are fairly well distributed between temperate and tropical conditions: 80 vs. 68 case studies, respectively. The 34 experimental plantations are located on all continents, with quite a high concentration in Brazil, in eastern Australia and in Pacific Northwest (Appendix B). It is noteworthy that some large regions (e.g. China and Africa) are underrepresented in the international literature.

Plantation ages range between two and 23 years, with the majority of the case studies dealing with two-to-four-year-old plantations. Planting densities ranged between 625 and 90,000 trees per ha, but most plantations had densities between 1000 and 2500 trees per ha. Fixing / non-fixing species mixing proportions were fifty-fifty in most cases, but proportions of one third to two thirds or one quarter to three quarters (and conversely) also occurred in several studies.

3.2. Grand mean effect size

The grand mean effect size calculated on the whole dataset (0.17 ± 0.06) was significantly positive (P < 0.01, Fig. 1), mixed-tree plantations being 18% more productive than the non N₂-fixing species monocultures (after back-transformation of the log response ratio). However, the magnitude of the effect varied significantly according to climate, the species concerned, mixing proportion and the development stage of the plantation. Biomass production was 24% higher in mixed-tree plantations than in monocultures under temperate latitudes (P < 0.05), while it was only 12% higher under tropical latitudes (not significantly different from zero); however, effect size did not



Fig. 1. Effect size (and confidence intervals) for all the case studies (top), tropical and temperate conditions (second down), eucalypt plantations only (third down), and only plantations with leguminous (*Fabaceae*) as N_2 -fixing tree species (bottom).



Fig. 2. Effect size (and confidence intervals) for all studies where low, high and equal mixing proportions were compared (top), and separating effect sizes for the three proportions (bottom).

significantly differ between tropical and temperate conditions (P = 0.42). Mixed eucalypt plantations were 24% more productive than their monocultures (P < 0.05). The number of case studies with species other than eucalypts was too small to make statistical comparisons possible; the mixture effect on production averaged only 11%. In terms of N₂-fixing species, mixed-tree plantations composed of leguminous species (*Fabaceae*) were 19% more productive than monocultures (P < 0.05); similar results were found when all N₂-fixing species were combined. Here also, the number of case studies with N₂-fixing species other than *Fabaceae* was too small to make statistical comparisons possible.

When only those studies containing three mixing proportions (high, low and equal) were retained in the analysis, the mixture effect on growth was 18%; however due to the limited number of case studies in this category, the effect was non-significantly different from zero (Fig. 2). However, both high and equal proportions resulted in biomass production 27% higher than the monoculture, a significantly higher effect size than the mean effect size of the low proportion (4%, P < 0.01).

Finally, when only studies comparing young and older short rotation plantations were retained in the analysis, young mixtures were 24% more productive than the monoculture while mixtures nearing rotation age were 17% more productive. Yet again, due to the small number of case studies, neither effect was significantly different from



Fig. 3. Effect size (and confidence intervals) for all studies where juvenile and mature developmental plantation stages were compared (top), and separating effect sizes for the two stages (bottom).

zero (P = 0.07) or significantly different from each other (P = 0.51) (Fig. 3).

3.3. Effect size per site

Fig. 4 represents the mean effect size for each of the 34 sites inventoried from the literature. The sites showed a wide range of effect sizes, ranging from highly positive to highly negative (Fig. 4). Most plantations showing negative or null effects were beyond the 95% confidence interval of the global effect size calculated on the whole dataset. Both the most successful and the worst-performing mixed plantations were located in temperate zones. Under temperate conditions, positive effects were highly significant in the USA, Australia and Canada, with one exception in Harris, Canada, where the mixture effect was significantly negative. Under tropical conditions, effects were weakly positive or negative, with the exception of the six plantations located in Congo, Thailand, Puerto Rico and Hawaii (three sites) where the effect was strongly positive.

Biomass production of the non N₂-fixing species monoculture, expressed in Mg ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ and calculated as the biomass at the oldest age of the plantation divided by this age, was negatively correlated to effect size (r = -0.61, n = 25, Fig. 5); when only eucalypt plantations were included, the correlation coefficient rose to 0.84 (n = 15). The correlation was not tested for significance because of the inter-dependence of the two variables.

4. Discussion

4.1. Are mixed plantations more productive than monocultures?

In line with our first hypothesis, a significant positive mixture effect on biomass production was revealed: tree plantations with introduced N₂-fixing species were, on average, 18% more productive than the corresponding monoculture of the non-fixing species. Previous metaanalyses focusing on forest mixtures in general have reported a positive effects of mixture over monoculture, but this effect was independent of the presence of N₂-fixing species in the mixture (Jactel et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2012). We therefore cannot confirm that the positive effect of the mixture we found was always related to N₂ fixation. Other differences in plant functional traits promoting a more efficient resource exploitation and utilization (complementarity effects) may also account for the positive effect of the mixture in our study (e.g. reduced competition for water, improved light interception or light use efficiency, Forrester, 2014).

The positive mixture effect on biomass production was significantly different from zero for temperate plantations (21%), but not for tropical ones. The lack of correlation between site effect size and either the mean annual temperature or the annual rainfall (data not shown) suggests that the difference between temperate and tropical plantations may be more related to edaphic characteristics than to climate characteristics, thus supporting our second hypothesis based on soil nitrogen being generally less available under temperate than tropical conditions (Martinelli et al., 1999). On average, it has indeed been shown that more N circulates annually through lowland tropical forests, and does so at higher concentrations, than through temperate forests (Vogt et al., 1986). Comparable data on rates of nitrogen mineralization and leaching losses also generally show greater rates of nitrogen cycling in many lowland tropical forests (Neill et al., 1995). However, exceptions exist under certain tropical conditions; quite high positive effect sizes were observed, notably in Congo, Thailand, Puerto Rico and Hawaii (Epron et al., 2013; Wichiennopparat et al., 1998; Parrotta, 1999; DeBell et al., 1985, respectively). In Congo, the plantation was located on an arenosol, a soil type with very low nutrient content (Mareschal



Fig. 4. Effect sizes (and their standard errors) of the 34 experimental mixture sites inventoried from the literature. Negative effect sizes indicate that the mixed-tree plantation was less productive than the non-fixing species monoculture. The dotted line represents the 95% confidence interval of the global effect size. Significant effects (different from zero) are indicated as * for $P \le 0.05$, ** for $P \le 0.01$ and *** for $P \le 0.001$. Grey rectangles correspond to tropical plantations. Numbers correspond to plantation numbers in Table 1.



Biomass production of the non N₂-fixing monoculture (Mg ha⁻¹ year⁻¹)

Fig. 5. Relations between site effect size and biomass production for the non N_2 -fixing monocultures when expressed in Mg ha⁻¹ in the articles, for all plantations (panel a, n = 25) and for eucalypt plantations only (panel b, n = 15). Numbers on the left panel refer to the numbers of the non-eucalypt plantations in Table 1.

et al., 2011); in Thailand, the podsolic soil carrying the mixed-tree plantation had previously been covered in degraded open woodland of no economic value; in Puerto Rico, the soil was sandy and had been subjected to frequent, and often intense, disturbance for at least a century. For these three sites, the success of the mixed-tree plantations (compared to the monoculture of the non N_2 -fixing species) can be attributed to the harsh soil conditions and nutrient limitations. It should be noted that, in Puerto Rico, the higher overall biomass production in the mixture was mostly due to growth in the N_2 -fixing species, not in the eucalypt target species, thus limiting the economic interest of the mixture. Interestingly, two tropical mixed-tree plantations in Hawaii were significantly successful even though there were no indication of soil N limitations at these sites (DeBell et al., 1985, 1987); this indicates

that harshness of soil conditions and N limitation are not the only factors involved in the success or failure of a mixed-tree plantation.

Concerning mixture proportion (third hypothesis), low proportions of N₂-fixing species in the mixture had no significant impact on biomass production, while high and equal proportions had a more pronounced, and equal, effect (+27%). While for commercial production, the planting density of the species of greater economic value is typically between 70 and 80%, the fifty – fifty mixture proportion may be the most cost-effective option when the target species is not the N₂-fixing species, as higher proportions give similar results and lower proportions do not significantly improve production. This assumes that planting stock of both the N₂-fixing and the target species cost the same. If this is not the case, it might also influence the proportions used in the mixture. Finally, the mixture effect was slightly, but not significantly, higher in older than younger plantations. With long-term monitoring (i.e. 11 years), Forrester et al. (2004) showed that differences between mixed and pure stands of eucalypt and acacia increased with time, indicating that the synergistic effects of the acacias were long-lasting, and that these effects started rapidly as biomass production peaked early in acacias. Zhang et al. (2012) showed that effect size increased weakly between 1 and 20 years, mostly in tropical plantations. They observed a stronger increase with age between 65 and 75 years, reflecting canopy transition in boreal and temperate forests. The limited age range present in our study did not allow us to consider similar age effects.

It should be noted that our analysis assumes that the biomass from the N_2 -fixing species is as desirable for the market as that of the target species, but this may not always be true. Moreover, even if wood production is higher in mixed stands, the economic value of the wood may be lower if the amount of wood produced from the species of higher economic value is lower. However, reliable economic analyses of mixed stands, especially those including their ecological stability, are still scarce (Nichols et al., 2006; Knoke et al., 2008).

4.2. Interaction mechanisms underlying mixture effects

Facilitative and competitive processes have been shown to depend on resource availability, with higher competition in fertile environments and greater facilitation under harsh conditions (Paquette and Messier, 2011). The balance between negative and positive interactions in mixtures shifts in relation with soil fertility (Boyden et al., 2005; Forrester et al., 2006b; Bouillet et al., 2013). We confirmed this pattern; a general negative correlation occurred between biomass production in the monoculture and mixture effect size, meaning that the sites where the mixture was the most successful were those where conditions were the least favourable for growth, in agreement with the stress gradient theory postulated by Bertness and Callaway (1994). This overall effect has also been reported in individual studies comparing contrasting sites in the USA, Australia, Canada and Brazil (Binkley, 1983; Forrester, 2004; Moukoumi et al., 2012; Bouillet et al., 2013, respectively). In Moukoumi et al. (2012), the differences in the success of the mixed-tree plantations at different sites in Canada were probably once again due to soil N limitation; these differences were probably exacerbated by the high planting density (around 15,000 trees per ha) which likely provoked a rapid shading of the N2-fixing species by the dominant nonfixing species at the most productive site, leading to canopy decline and dieback in the N₂-fixing species. The more positive response to mixing in eucalypt plantations than in plantations with other non-fixing species may be due to lower competition for light; indeed, most eucalypt species have an intrinsically low leaf area index and pendulous leaf position (King, 1997; Nouvellon et al., 2010). More light can therefore reach the lower part of the canopy when the eucalypts grow taller than the N2-fixing species. Mixed-eucalypt plantations may still fail, however, when competition for another environmental resource is the driving force, as when water availability is low, for example (Nouvellon et al., 2012; le Maire et al., 2013).

4.3. A balance between mixture success and high biomass production

When observing the relationship between site productivity and mixture effect size, it is noteworthy that the outliers are all sites where, despite low productivity, the mixture effect size was negative or only moderately positive. In other words, we found no studies where a highly productive site was associated with a successful mixture. This indicates that harsh conditions are required to promote the success of a mixture, but are not sufficient to ensure it. Outliers in the relationships included sites with non-fixing species other than eucalypts (poplar, pine, willow, Douglas fir); when only eucalypt sites were retained, the correlation coefficient was improved (r = 0.84). For sites without

eucalypts, no general pattern is obvious because only a few case studies occur for each of the four genera. However, when site conditions are harsh enough to promote mixture success, failure is likely to be due to other factors such as the varying ecological requirements of the two species (Marron et al., 2018). Based on their review of eucalypt / acacia mixtures, Forrester et al. (2005, 2006a), identified three major factors contributing to the success of mixed-tree plantations: compatibility between height growth rates of the two species, choice of an adequate N₂-fixing species, and appropriate site selection. Based on our results, it appears that site condition is the main factor influencing mixture success, and that biomass production of the non N2-fixing monoculture is a good proxy for site conditions. On the other hand, the choice of the N₂fixing species does not seem to be of great importance. We found no difference between mixture effect on growth with legumes (associated with Rhizobium) and with other N2-fixing species (associated with Frankia), with the caveat that 87% of the N2-fixing species were legumes in the case studies we inventoried, indicating that other N₂fixing species are underrepresented in the literature.

5. Conclusions

We found that mixed-tree plantations with N₂-fixing tree species were 18% significantly more productive than the corresponding monocultures of the non-fixing species. This mixture effect was significantly more evident under temperate than under tropical conditions (with a few exceptions). Intermediate mixing proportion gave the best results, with an equal effect for a high proportion of N₂-fixing species. In line with the stress gradient theory, mixed plantations were more productive than monoculture under conditions unfavourable for growth; so, the success of the mixture seemed to be conditioned to a low biomass production. However, almost all studies included in this metaanalysis dealt with short rotation forests. Any extrapolation to forests managed on longer rotations should therefore be done with care.

Our analysis also highlighted some research gaps in the scientific literature: (i) To isolate the underlying drivers, replicating experimental trials with the same combination of N₂-fixing and target species along soil fertility and/or soil water availability gradients would be appropriate; (ii) Tree species associated with Frankia actinobacteria are underrepresented in the literature and more experimental trials are needed to test the potential of these species for improving growth in forest plantations. Native nitrogen fixing species may be more easily accepted in ecological contexts where exotic legume trees are either unadapted or undesirable because of their invasiveness; (iii) Our study focused on experiments using the replacement series design but the additive-series design would be more suitable when the non-fixing species is much more productive than the N2-fixing species (the density of the most productive species would not be reduced and no production would be lost), or when only the production of the non-fixing species is of interest for commercial purposes; (iv) Finally, mixing N₂-fixing tree species with non-fixing tree species potentially increases biomass production, especially in temperate climates. However, regional socioeconomic studies are still needed to convince managers - especially those responsible for short rotation plantations for bioenergy - that mixtures can mitigate some of the negative environmental impacts of monocultures without having a negative impact on an owner's income.

Acknowledgements

Financial support was provided by the Intens&fix project (ANR-2010-STRA-004-03). The UMR Silva is supported by the Laboratory of Excellence ARBRE (ANR-11-LABX-0002-01). We thank Victoria Moore for editing the English manuscript. We also warmly thank William L. Mason and an anonymous reviewer for their extensive work on several versions of the manuscript: constructive comments as well as thorough corrections were highly appreciated.

Appendix A. Soil characteristics of the 34 experimental mixed-tree plantations in terms of pH, carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) contents, ratio C/N, sand and clay contents and type. NA: Not available

Location Si	ite number pH	н о	? (~ l·~ ⁻ ·)					
			_ (g kg)	C/N	N (g kg ^{-1})	Sand (%)	Clay (%)	Soil type
Australia, Atherton-Tablelands 1	N	A I	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Humic gley
Australia, Canberra 2	N	A N	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Yellow Kandosol
Australia, Cann-River 3	5.	1 2	2.6	2.4	1.1	NA	NA	Yellow Podzolic
Australia, Eden 4	N	A N	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Brown friable earth
Australia, Nowra 5	N	A N	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Brown loam
Brazil, Bofete 6	4.	5 1	12.0	14.3	0.8	NA	11.8	Ferralsols
Brazil, Itatinga 7	5.	5 1	17.6	19.6	0.9	84.0	13.0	Ferralsols
Brazil, Luiz Antonio 8	4.	8 8	3.5	13.3	0.6	NA	10.1	Ferralic arenosols
Brazil, Minas do Leao 9	4.	.4 ľ	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Brazil, Rio de Janeiro 10	0 4.	.9 3	3.6	9.6	0.4	86.5	6.3	Haplic planosol
Brazil, Santana do Paraiso 11	1 5.	5 1	19.0	11.2	1.7	NA	50.7	Ferralsols
Brazil, São Mateus 12	2 N.	A N	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Canada, Mt. Benson 13	3 4.	2 1	NA	NA	3.1	NA	NA	Gravelly clay loam Typic Haplorthod
Canada, Laval 14	4 4.	1 2	27.5	11.0	2.5	NA	NA	Acid loam/orthic dystric brunisol
Canada, Harris 15	5 5.	.9 1	11.8	9.5	NA	85.4	8.6	Loamy sand
Canada, Saskatoon 1 16	6 7.	.9 3	31.4	8.9	NA	13.0	67.4	Clay
Canada, Saskatoon 2 17	7 8.	2 1	17.3	10.5	NA	52.3	32.9	Sandy clay loam
China, Yuanmou 18	8 6.	2 4	4.6	NA	0.2	NA	NA	Ferralic arenosols
Congo, Kissoko 19	9 4.	6 6	5.9	17.3	0.4	91.0	3.0	Ferralic arenosols
England, Gisburn forest 20	0 N.	A N	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Water gleys
France, Ardon 21	1 N.	A N	NA	NA	4.1	NA	NA	NA
France, Saint-Cyr-en-Val 22	2 5.	6 1	10.0	12.5	0.8	68.0	9.0	Gleyic luvisol
Iran, Foman 23	3 4.	7 1	17.1	6.2	2.8	NA	NA	Silty loam
Iran, Mazandaran 24	4 7.	9 2	21.8	8.2	2.7	NA	NA	Silty loam
Puerto Rico, Tao Baja 25	5 8.	2 1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Calcareous sand
Spain, Alcala de Henares 26	6 8.	1 1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Silty loam
Thailand, Ratchaburi 27	7 N.	A N	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Brown Podzolic
USA, Onomea 1 28	8 4.	9 1	NA	NA	6.0	NA	NA	Thixotropic isomesic typic Hydrandept
USA, Onomea 2 29	9 5.	.9 1	NA	NA	5.0	NA	NA	Thixotropic isomesic typic Hydrudands
USA, Waimanalo 30	0 N.	A N	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Isohyperthermic Vertic Haplustol
USA, Cascade Head 31	1 N.	A N	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Gravelly clay loam
USA, HJ Andrews 32	2 N	A N	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Gravelly clay loam
USA, Camas 33	3 N.	A N	NA	NA	0.9	NA	NA	NA
USA, Skykomish 34	4 4.	5 1	NA	NA	0.9	NA	NA	Silty clay loam Dystric Xerochrept

Appendix B. GPS positioning of the 34 experimental mixed-tree plantations inventoried from the literature on a Google map planisphere (from <u>www.geofree.fr</u>)



References

- Austin, M.T., Brewbaker, J.L., Wheeler, R., Fownes, J.H., 1997. Short-rotation biomass trial of mixed and pure stands of nitrogen-fixing trees and *Eucalyptus grandis*. Aust. Forestry 60, 161–168.
- Bauhus, J., Forrester, D.I., Pretzsch, H., 2017. From observations to evidence about effects of mixed-species stands. In: Pretzsch, H., Forrester, D.I., Bauhus, J. (Eds.), Mixedspecies Forests - Ecology and Management. Springer, Berlin, Germany, pp. 27–71.
- Bauhus, J., Khanna, P.K., Menden, N., 2000. Aboveground and belowground interactions in mixed plantations of *Eucalyptus globulus* and *Acacia mearnsii*. Can. J. Forest Res. 30, 1886–1894.
- Bauhus, J., van Winden, A.P., Nicotra, A.B., 2004. Aboveground interactions and productivity in mixed-species plantations of *Acacia mearnsii* and *Eucalyptus globulus*. Can. J. Forest Res. 34, 686–694.
- Bertness, M.D., Callaway, R., 1994. Positive interactions in communities. Trends Ecol. Evol. 9, 191–193.
- Bi, H.Q., Turvey, N.D., 1994. Inter-specific competition between seedlings of Pinus radiata, Eucalyptus regnans and Acacia melanoxylon. Aust. J. Bot. 42, 61–70.
- Binkley, D., 1983. Ecosystem production in Douglas-fir plantations Interaction of red alder and site fertility. For. Ecol. Manage. 5, 215–227.
- Binkley, D., Dunkin, K.A., Debell, D., Ryan, M.G., 1992. Production and nutrient cycling in mixed plantations of *Eucalyptus* and *Albizia* in Hawaii. Forest Sci. 38, 393–408.
- Binkley, D., Senock, R., Bird, S., Cole, T.G., 2003. Twenty years of stand development in pure and mixed stands of *Eucalyptus saligna* and nitrogen-fixing *Facaltaria moluccana*. For. Ecol. Manage. 182, 93–102.
- Bouillet, J.P., Laclau, J.P., Goncalves, J.L.D., Voigtlaender, M., Gava, J.L., Leite, F.P., Hakamada, R., Mareschal, L., Mabiala, A., Tardy, F., Levillain, J., Deleporte, P., Epron, D., Nouvellon, Y., 2013. *Eucalyptus* and *Acacia* tree growth over entire rotation in single- and mixed-species plantations across five sites in Brazil and Congo. For. Ecol. Manage. 301, 89–101.
- Boyden, S., Binkley, D., Senock, R., 2005. Competition and facilitation between *Eucalyptus* and nitrogen-fixing *Falcataria* in relation to soil fertility. Ecology 86, 992–1001.
- Bristow, M., Vanclay, J.K., Brooks, L., Hunt, M., 2006. Growth and species interactions of *Eucalyptus pellita* in a mixed and monoculture plantation in the humid tropics of north Queensland. For. Ecol. Manage. 233, 285–294.
- Coté, B., Camire, C., 1984. Growth, nitrogen accumulation, and symbiotic dinitrogen fixation in pure and mixed plantings of hybrid poplar and black alder. Plant Soil 78, 209–220.

D'Amato, A.W., Puettmann, K.J., 2004. The relative dominance hypothesis explains

interaction dynamics in mixed species *Alnus rubra/Pseudotsuga menziesii* stands. J. Ecol. 92, 450–463.

DeBell, D.S., Cole, T.G., Whitesell, C.D., 1997. Growth, development, and yield in pure and mixed stands of *Eucalyptus* and *Albizia*. Forest Sci. 43, 286–298.

DeBell, D.S., Radwan, M.A., 1979. Growth and nitrogen relations of coppiced black cottonwood and red alder in pure and mixed plantings. Bot. Gaz. 140, S97–S101.

- DeBell, D.S., Whitesell, C.D., Crabb, T.B., 1987. Benefits of Eucalyptus-Albizia mixtures vary by site on Hawaii Island. USDA For. Serv. Res. Paper PSW-187.
- DeBell, D.S., Whitesell, C.D., Schubert, T.H., 1985. Mixed plantations of *Eucalyptus* and leguminous trees enhance biomass production. USDA For. Serv. Res. P.PSW-175. DeBell, D.S., Whitesell, C.D., Schubert, T.H., 1989. Using N₂-fixing Albizia to increase
- growth of *Eucalyptus* plantations in Hawaii. Forest Sci. 35, 64–75.
- Epron, D., Nouvellon, Y., Mareschal, L., Moreira, R.M.E., Koutika, L.S., Geneste, B., Delgado-Rojas, J.S., Laclau, J.P., Sola, G., Goncalves, J.L.D., Bouillet, J.P., 2013. Partitioning of net primary production in *Eucalyptus* and *Acacia* stands and in mixedspecies plantations: Two case-studies in contrasting tropical environments. For. Ecol. Manage. 301, 102–111.
- FAO, 1992. Mixed and pure forest plantations in the tropics and subtropics. FAO Forestry paper 103 (based on the work of T.J. Wormald), Rome, Italy.
- Forrester, D.I., 2004. Mixed-species Plantation of Nitrogen-fixing and Non-nitrogen-Fixing Trees. Ph.D. Thesis. The Australian National University, Canberra, pp. 196.
- Forrester, D.I., 2014. The spatial and temporal dynamics of species interactions in mixedspecies forests: From pattern to process. For. Ecol. Manage. 312, 282–292.
- Forrester, D.I., Bauhus, H., Cowie, A.L., 2005. On the success and failure of mixed-species tree plantations: lessons learned from a model system of *Eucalyptus globulus* and *Acacia mearnsii*. For. Ecol. Manage. 209, 147–155.
- Forrester, D.I., Bauhus, J., Cowie, A.L., Vanclay, J.K., 2006a. Mixed-species plantations of *Eucalyptus* with nitrogen-fixing trees: A review. For. Ecol. Manage. 233, 211–230.
- Forrester, D.I., Bauhus, J., Khanna, P.K., 2004. Growth dynamics in a mixed-species Eucalyptus globulus and Acacia mearnsii. For. Ecol. Manage. 193, 81–95.
- Forrester, D.I., Cowie, A.L., Bauhus, J., Wood, J.T., Forrester, R.I., 2006b. Effects of changing the supply of nitrogen and phosphorus on growth and interactions between *Eucalyptus globulus* and *Acacia mearnsii* in a pot trial. Plant Soil 280, 267–277.
- Gana, C., 2016. Croissance, production et acquisition de l'azote chez le peuplier et le robinier en plantations à courte rotation monospécifiques et mélangées. Ph.D. Thesis. Université de Lorraine, Nancy, France, 172 p.
- Ghorbani, M., Sohrabi, H., Sadati, S.E., Babaei, F., 2018. Productivity and dynamics of pure and mixed-species plantations of *Populous deltoids* Bartr. ex Marsh and *Alnus* subcordata C. A. Mey. For. Ecol. Manage. 409, 890–898.
- Gurevitch, J., Hedges, L.V., 1999. Statistical issues in ecological meta-analyses. Ecology

N. Marron and D. Epron

80, 1142-1149.

- Ingram, V., Van Der Werf, E., Kikulwe, E., Wesseler, J.H.H., 2016. Evaluating the impacts of plantations and associated forestry operations in Africa - methods and indicators. Int. For. Rev. 18, 44–55.
- Jactel, H., Gritti, E.S., Drossler, L., Forrester, D.I., Mason, W.L., Morin, X., Pretzsch, H., Castagneyrol, B., 2018. Positive biodiversity-productivity relationships in forests: climate matters. Biol. Lett. 14.
- Kanowski, P., Murray, H., 2008. TFD Review: Intensively Managed Planted Forests. The Forests Dialogue, New Haven, CT, pp. 64.
- Kelty, M.J., 2006. The role of species mixtures in plantation forestry. For. Ecol. Manage. 233, 195–204.
- Khanna, P.K., 1997. Comparison of growth and nutrition of young monocultures and mixed stands of *Eucalyptus globulus* and *Acacia mearnsii*. For. Ecol. Manage. 94, 105–113.
- King, D.A., 1997. The functional significance of leaf angle in *Eucalyptus*. Aust. J. Bot. 45, 619–639.
- Knoke, T., Ammer, C., Stimm, B., Mosandl, R., 2008. Admixing broadleaved to coniferous tree species: a review on yield, ecological stability and economics. Eur. J. Forest Res. 127, 89–101.
- Koupar, S.A.M., Hosseini, S.M., Tabari, M., Modirrahmati, A., Golchin, A., Rad, F.H., 2011. Effects of pure and mixed plantations of *Populus deltoides* with *Alnus glotinosa* on growth and soil properties: A case study of Foman Region. Iran. Afr. J. Agr. Res. 6, 5261–5265.
- Koutika, L.S., Epron, D., Bouillet, J.P., Mareschal, L., 2014. Changes in N and C concentrations, soil acidity and P availability in tropical mixed acacia and eucalypt plantations on a nutrient-poor sandy soil. Plant Soil 379, 205–216.
- Koutika, L.S., Tchichelle, S.V., Mareschal, L., Epron, D., 2017. Nitrogen dynamics in a nutrient-poor soil under mixed-species plantations of eucalypts and acacias. Soil Biol. Biochem. 108, 84–90.
- le Maire, G., Nouvellon, Y., Christina, M., Ponzoni, F.J., Goncalves, J.L.M., Bouillet, J.P., Laclau, J.P., 2013. Tree and stand light use efficiencies over a full rotation of singleand mixed-species *Eucalyptus grandis* and *Acacia mangium* plantations. For. Ecol. Manage. 288, 31–42.
- Liu, D., Liu, Y., Fang, S., Tian, Y., 2015. Tree species composition influenced microbial diversity and nitrogen availability in rhizosphere soil. Plant Soil Environ. 61, 438–443.
- Malkamäki, A., D'Amato, D., Hogarth, N., Kanninen, M., Pirard, R., Toppinen, A., Zhou, W., 2017. The socioeconomic impacts of large-scale tree plantations on local communities. A systematic review protocol. Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR), Bogor, Indonesia.
- Mareschal, L., Nzila, J.D.D., Turpault, M.P., M'Bou, A.T., Mazoumbou, J.C., Bouillet, J.P., Ranger, J., Laclau, J.P., 2011. Mineralogical and physico-chemical properties of Ferralic Arenosols derived from unconsolidated Plio-Pleistocenic deposits in the coastal plains of Congo. Geoderma 162, 159–170.
- Marron, N., Priault, P., Gana, C., Gérant, D., Epron, D., 2018. Prevalence of interspecific competition in a mixed poplar/black locust plantation under adverse climate conditions. Ann. For. Sci. 75, 23–34.
- Martinelli, L.A., Piccolo, M.C., Townsend, A.R., Vitousek, P.M., Cuevas, E., McDowell, W., Robertson, G.P., Santos, O.C., Treseder, K., 1999. Nitrogen stable isotopic composition of leaves and soil: Tropical versus temperate forests. Biogeochemistry 46, 45–65.
- Mason, W.L., Connolly, T., 2014. Mixtures with spruce species can be more productive than monocultures: evidence from the Gisburn experiment in Britain. Forestry 87, 209–217.
- Montagnini, F., 2000. Accumulation in above-ground biomass and soil storage of mineral nutrients in pure and mixed plantations in a humid tropical lowland. For. Ecol. Manage, 134, 257–270.
- Moore, G.W., Bond, B.J., Jones, J.A., 2011. A comparison of annual transpiration and productivity in monoculture and mixed-species Douglas-fir and red alder stands. For. Ecol. Manage. 262, 2263–2270.
- Moraes de Jesus, R., Brouard, J.S., 1989. *Eucalyptus Leucaen*a mixture experiment. I. Growth and yield. Int. Tree Crop J. 5, 257–269.
- Moukoumi, J., Farrell, R.E., Van Rees, K.J.C., Hynes, R.K., Belanger, N., 2012. Intercropping *Caragana arborescens* with *Salix miyabeana* to satisfy nitrogen demand and maximize growth. Bioenerg. Res. 5, 719–732.
- Nakagawa, S., Santos, E.S.A., 2012. Methodological issues and advances in biological meta-analysis. Evol. Ecol. 26, 1253–1274.
- Neill, C., Piccolo, M.C., Steudler, P.A., Melillo, J.M., Feigl, B.J., Cerri, C.C., 1995. Nitrogen dynamics in soils of forests and active pastures in the western Brazilian amazon basin. Soil Biol. Biochem. 27, 1167–1175.
- Nichols, J.D., Bristow, M., Vanclay, J.K., 2006. Mixed-species plantations: Prospects and challenges. For. Ecol. Manage. 233, 383–390.
- Nouvellon, Y., Laclau, J.P., Epron, D., Kinana, A., Mabiala, A., Roupsard, O., Bonnefond,

J.M., le Maire, G., Marsden, C., Bontemps, J.D., Saint-Andre, L., 2010. Within-stand and seasonal variations of specific leaf area in a clonal *Eucalyptus* plantation in the Republic of Congo. For. Ecol. Manage. 259, 1796–1807.

- Nouvellon, Y., Laclau, J.P., Epron, D., Le Maire, G., Bonnefond, J.M., Goncalves, J.L.M., Bouillet, J.P., 2012. Production and carbon allocation in monocultures and mixedspecies plantations of *Eucalyptus grandis* and *Acacia mangium* in Brazil. Tree Physiol. 32, 680–695.
- Oliveira, N., del Rio, M., Forrester, D.I., Rodriguez-Soalleiro, R., Perez-Cruzado, C., Canellas, I., Sixto, H., 2018. Mixed short rotation plantations of *Populus alba* and *Robinia pseudoacacia* for biomass yield. For. Ecol. Manage. 410, 48–55.
- Paquette, A., Messier, C., 2011. The effect of biodiversity on tree productivity: from temperate to boreal forests. Global Ecol. Biogeogr. 20, 170–180.
- Parrotta, J.A., 1999. Productivity, nutrient cycling, and succession in single- and mixedspecies plantations of *Casuarina equisetifolia*, *Eucalyptus robusta*, and *Leucaena leucocephala* in Puerto Rico. For. Ecol. Manage. 124, 45–77.
- Parrotta, J.A., Baker, D.D., Fried, M., 1996. Changes in dinitrogen fixation in maturing stands of *Casuarina equisetifolia* and *Leucaena leucocephala*. Can. J. Forest Res. 26, 1684–1691.
- Payn, T., Carnus, J.M., Freer-Smith, P., Kimberley, M., Kollert, W., Liu, S.R., Orazio, C., Rodriguez, L., Silva, L.N., Wingfield, M.J., 2015. Changes in planted forests and future global implications. For. Ecol. Manage. 352, 57–67.
- Piotto, D., 2008. A meta-analysis comparing tree growth in monocultures and mixed plantations. For. Ecol. Manage. 255, 781–786.
- Pretzsch, H., Bielak, K., Block, J., Bruchwald, A., Dieler, J., Ehrhart, H.P., Kohnle, U., Nagel, J., Spellmann, H., Zasada, M., Zingg, A., 2013. Productivity of mixed versus pure stands of oak (*Quercus petraea* (Matt.) Liebl. and *Quercus robur* L.) and European beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) along an ecological gradient. Eur. J. For. Res. 132, 263–280.
- Radosevich, S.R., Hibbs, D.E., Ghersa, C.M., 2006. Effects of species mixtures on growth and stand development of Douglas-fir and red alder. Can. J. Forest Res. 36, 768–782. Robertson, C., Idris, N.R.N., Boyle, P., 2004. Beyond classical meta-analysis: can in-
- adequately reported studies be included? Drug Discov. Today 9, 924–931. Rosenberg, M.S., 2005. The file-drawer problem revisited: A general weighted method for
- calculating fail-safe numbers in meta-analysis. Evolution 59, 464–468. Rosenthal, R., 1979. The file drawer problem and tolerance for null results. Psychol. Bull.
- 86, 638–641. Santos, F.M., Balieiro, F.D., Ataide, D.H.D., Diniz, A.R., Chaer, G.M., 2016. Dynamics of
- Santos, F.M., Baheno, F.D., Klaude, D.R.D., Dinz, K.K., Ghaer, G.M., 200. Dynamics of aboveground biomass accumulation in monospecific and mixed-species plantations of *Eucalyptus* and *Acacia* on a Brazilian sandy soil. For. Ecol. Manage. 363, 86–97.
- Sayyad, E., Hosseini, S.M., Mokhtari, J., Mahdavi, R., Jalali, S.G., Akbarinia, M., Tabari, M., 2006. Comparison of growth, nutrition and soil properties of pure and mixed stands of *Populus deltoides* and *Alnus subcordata*. Silva Fenn. 40, 27–35.
- Snowdon, P., Wichiennopparat, W., Khanna, P.K., 2003. Growth, above-ground biomass and nutrient content of eucalypts and acacias grown in mixture in a tropical environment - Evaluation for one full rotation. In: International Conference on Eucalypt Productivity, Hobart, Australia.
- Tang, G.Y., Li, K., Zhang, C.H., Gao, C.J., Li, B., 2013. Accelerated nutrient cycling via leaf litter, and not root interaction, increases growth of *Eucalyptus* in mixed-species plantations with *Leucaena*. For. Ecol. Manage. 310, 45–53.
- Tchichelle, S.V., Mareschal, L., Koutika, L.S., Epron, D., 2017. Biomass production, nitrogen accumulation and symbiotic nitrogen fixation in a mixed-species plantation of eucalypt and acacia on a nutrient-poor tropical soil. For. Ecol. Manage. 403, 103–111.
- Teissier du Cros, E., Jung, G., Bariteau, M., 1984. Alder *Frankia* interaction and alder poplar association for biomass production. Plant Soil 78, 235–243.
- Vezzani, F.M., Tedesco, M.J., Barros, N.F., 2001. Alterações dos nutrientes no solo e nas plantas em consórcio de eucalipto e acácia negra. Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo 25, 225–231.
- Viechtbauer, W., 2010. Conducting meta-analyses in R with the metafor package. J. Stat. Softw. 36, 1–48.
- Vogt, K.A., Grier, C.C., Vogt, D.J., 1986. Production, turnover, and nutrient dynamics of aboveground and belowground detritus of world forests. Adv. Ecol. Res. 15, 303–377.
- Wang, Q.K., Wang, S.L., Zhang, J.W., 2009. Assessing the effects of vegetation types on carbon storage fifteen years after reforestation on a Chinese fir site. For. Ecol. Manage. 258, 1437–1441.
- Wichiennopparat, W., Khanna, P.K., Snowdon, P., 1998. Contribution of acacia to the growth and nutrient status of eucalypts in mixed-species stands at Ratchaburi, Thailand. In: Turnbull, J.W., Crompton, H.R., Pinyopusarerk, K. (Eds.), Recent Developments in Acacia Planting. Proc. Intern Workshop, Hanoi, Vietnam, pp. 281–287.
- Zhang, Y., Chen, H.Y.H., Reich, P.B., 2012. Forest productivity increases with evenness, species richness and trait variation: a global meta-analysis. J. Ecol. 100, 742–749.